

From: [Walters, Donn](#)
To: [Miller, Garyg](#); [Sanchez, Carlos](#)
Subject: RE: San Jacinto 104(e)s and Possible Order Preparation
Date: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 12:12:51 PM

Thanks for the heads up..

From: Miller, Garyg
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 12:04 PM
To: Sanchez, Carlos; Walters, Donn
Subject: FW: San Jacinto 104(e)s and Possible Order Preparation

FYI

Gary Miller
EPA Remedial Project Manager
214-665-8318
miller.garyg@epa.gov

From: Foster, Anne
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 11:02 AM
To: Werner, Robert
Cc: Salinas, Amy; Peycke, Mark; Miller, Garyg; Johnson, Lydia
Subject: San Jacinto 104(e)s and Possible Order Preparation

Bob,

I think there are at least two sets of 104(e)s we need to send out for San Jacinto, and unfortunately we need to do so soon so that we can get the responses back before the estimated ROD date of May (I also don't know if there is a proposed date for a PRN). I checked with Gary, and he said that the remedial response on the Southern impoundment will only address dioxin, BUT there are two types of dioxin there, one of which is different from the dioxin in the northern impoundment. I think we should send out 104(e)s to all potential operators of the Southern impoundment in the hopes of identifying the source of the other dioxin. The state has done some waste analysis work which might help.

The public is also very concerned about where the sand dredged from the northern pit ended up. We should send a 104(e) requesting the names of the purchasers of the sand.

Finally, I think we should begin to assemble documents to support the possible issuance of a UAO, including liability information. In the past, I believe we have used certified copies of any deeds for that record, but perhaps we should discuss. I know you said you had not finished the title work on the southern impoundment, and I wouldn't want you to have to go back for certified copies.

Thanks.



9494246